

ABOUT THE MATRIX FUNCTION $X \rightarrow AX + XA$

GERALD BOURGEOIS

ABSTRACT. Let K be an infinite field such that $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. We show that, for every $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ such that $\text{rank}(A) \geq n/2$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ such that B is similar to A and $A + B$ is invertible. Let K be a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$. We show that, if n is even, then for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) \geq 0$ if and only if either $\text{rank}(A) < n/2$ or there exists $\alpha \in K, \alpha \leq 0$, such that $A^2 = \alpha I_n$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$. If $M \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, the $n \times n$ matrices with entries in K , then $\text{adj}(M)$ denotes its classical adjoint, $\text{tr}(M)$ denotes its trace and $\text{sp}(M)$ denotes its spectrum in $\overline{K}$, an algebraic closure of K . Consider the function $\phi : X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K) \rightarrow \det(AX + XA) \in K$.

Problem 1. Characterize the matrices $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ such that

$$\phi = 0 \text{ (resp. } \phi \geq 0, \text{ resp. } \phi \leq 0 \text{ when } K = \mathbb{C} \text{ or } \mathbb{R}).$$

Problem 2. Characterize the matrices $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ such that there exist $B \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ such that B is similar to A and $A + B$ is invertible. It is linked to Problem 1, because $\phi(X) \neq 0$ and X invertible imply $A + XAX^{-1}$ invertible.

To solve Problem 1, we can consider $\text{adj}(AX + XA)$. We obtain the following results
i) If $\text{rank}(A) < n/2$ then $\phi = 0$ and for every X , $\text{adj}(AX + XA) \times A = A \times \text{adj}(AX + XA) = 0_n$.

ii) In the particular case when $K = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$: $\phi = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\text{adj}(AX + XA) \times A + A \times \text{adj}(AX + XA) = 0_n$.

Problem 2 is connected to the following Roth's result, valid over any field K (cf. [4])

Theorem. Let $A, A', C \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$. The matrix equation $AX - XA' = C$ has a solution if and only if the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & A' \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A' \end{pmatrix}$ are similar.

Then we seek the matrices A such that there exists an invertible matrix C such that $\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & -A \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A \end{pmatrix}$ are similar.

We can obtain better characterizations when the underlying field K is infinite and has a characteristic that is not 2. Under this hypothesis, our first main result is

- If $\text{rank}(A) \geq n/2$, then there exists B such that B is similar to A and $A + B$ is invertible. As a corollary, we show that $\phi = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{rank}(A) < n/2$.
- When K is a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$ and n is even, we show our second main result

$$\phi \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{either } \text{rank}(A) < n/2 \text{ or there exists } \alpha \in K, \alpha \leq 0, \text{ such that } A^2 = \alpha I_n.$$

As a corollary (valid for any n), we show that $\phi \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{rank}(A) < n/2$.

Date: 9-30-2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A15. Secondary 15A21.

Key words and phrases. Determinant. Similarity. Rank.

2. ABOUT $\det(AX + XA)$

First, we show the two results concerning the matrix $\text{adj}(AX + XA)$.

Lemma 1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$. If $\text{rank}(A) < n/2$, then, for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) = 0$ and $\text{adj}(AX + XA) \times A = A \times \text{adj}(AX + XA) = 0_n$.*

Proof. • Since $\text{rank}(A) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$, one has $\text{rank}(AX + XA) \leq n-1$. Thus $\det(AX + XA) = 0$.

• If $\text{rank}(AX + XA) < n-1$ then $\text{adj}(AX + XA) = 0$. Thus assume that $\text{rank}(AX + XA) = n-1$. Then n is odd, $r = \text{rank}(A) = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $\text{rank}(\text{adj}(AX + XA)) = 1$. Assume that, for every $u \in \ker(A) \setminus \{0_{n,1}\}$, $Xu \neq 0_{n,1}$. Then $X(\ker(A))$ is a vector subspace of K^n of dimension $\frac{n+1}{2}$ and therefore intersects $\ker(A) \setminus \{0_{n,1}\}$. Finally, there exists $u \in \ker(A) \setminus \{0_{n,1}\}$ such that $Xu \in \ker(A)$ and thus $(AX + XA)u = 0$. Again the vector subspace $\ker(A^T)$ has dimension $\frac{n+1}{2}$. In the same way, we find $v \in \ker(A^T) \setminus \{0_{n,1}\}$ such that $X^T v \in \ker(A^T)$. Then $(AX + XA)^T v = 0$. Therefore (cf. [3], p. 41), there exists $\lambda \in K^*$ such that $\text{adj}(AX + XA) = \lambda uv^T$. Clearly $A \times \text{adj}(AX + XA) = \text{adj}(AX + XA) \times A = 0_n$. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Assume that $K = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

i) *For every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) = 0$.*

ii) *For every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\text{adj}(AX + XA) \times A + A \times \text{adj}(AX + XA) = 0_n$.*

Proof. Let $D\phi$ be the derivative of ϕ . Then

$$\phi = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{for every } X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K), \quad D\phi(X) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for every } X, H \in \mathcal{M}_n(K), \quad \text{tr}(\text{adj}(AX + XA)(AH + HA)) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for every } X, H \in \mathcal{M}_n(K), \quad \text{tr}((A \times \text{adj}(AX + XA) + \text{adj}(AX + XA) \times A)H) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{ii}).$$

$\square$

Let $\text{char}(K)$ be the characteristic of K . We show our first main result.

Theorem 1. *Let K be an infinite field such that $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ be such that $\text{rank}(A) \geq n/2$. Then there exists $B \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ such that B is similar to A and $A + B$ is invertible.*

Proof. • Case 1. K is algebraically closed.

Step 1. $\text{rank}(A) \geq n/2$ and $\ker(A) = \ker(A^2)$. Then we may assume that A is in a Jordan form

$$A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1} + N_1, \dots, \lambda_p I_{n_p} + N_p, 0_q)$$

where $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_p$, $q \leq n/2$, for every i , $\lambda_i \neq 0$ and N_i is strictly upper triangular. If $n_1 \leq q$, then we may remove, from the Jordan form of A , the block $A' = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1} + N_1, 0_{n_1})$. Indeed $B' = \text{diag}(0_{n_1}, \lambda_1 I_{n_1} + N_1)$ is similar to A' and $A' + B'$ is invertible. Thus we may assume that $n_1 > q$. If M is invertible, then, since $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, $M + M$ is invertible. Therefore we may assume that $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 I_{n_1} + N_1, 0_q)$. The matrix $B = \text{diag}(0_q, \lambda_1 I_{n_1} + N_1)$ is similar to A and, since $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, $A + B$ is invertible.

Step 2. A is nilpotent and its Jordan forms have no nilpotent Jordan blocks of dimension 1. It is sufficient to show the result when $A = J_n$, the nilpotent Jordan block of dimension $n \geq 2$. Let P be the matrix associated to the permutation $\{1 \rightarrow 2, \dots, n-1 \rightarrow n, n \rightarrow 1\}$. Then $\det(AP + PA) = 2^{n-2}$ is non-zero because

$\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. Finally $B = PAP^{-1} = PAP^T$ works.

Step 3. A is nilpotent and $\text{rank}(A) \geq n/2$. It is sufficient to show the result when $A = \text{diag}(0_p, J_q)$ with $q \geq 3$ and $p \leq q - 2$.

i) $n = p + q$ is even. Consider the matrix P associated to the permutation $\{1 \rightarrow n - 1, \dots, n/2 - 1 \rightarrow n/2 + 1, n/2 \rightarrow n, n/2 + 1 \rightarrow 1, \dots, n \rightarrow n/2\}$. Then $\det(AP + PA) = \pm 2^{\frac{q-p-2}{2}}$ is non-zero and $B = PAP^T$ works.

ii) n is odd. Then $q - p \geq 3$. Consider the matrix P associated to the permutation $\{1 \rightarrow n - 1, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2} \rightarrow n, \frac{n+3}{2} \rightarrow 1, \dots, n \rightarrow \frac{n-1}{2}\}$. Then $\det(AP + PA) = \pm 2^{\frac{q-p-3}{2}}$ is non-zero and $B = PAP^T$ works.

Step 4. $\text{rank}(A) \geq n/2$. We may assume $A = \text{diag}(U, V)$ where U satisfies the condition of Step 1 and V satisfies the conditions of Step 3. We easily conclude.

• Case 2. (due to Florian Eisele). K is not algebraically closed. Let $\overline{K}$ be an algebraic closure of K . We consider the rational functions

$$f : T = [T_{i,j}] \in GL_n(K) \rightarrow \det(A + TAT^{-1}) \in K(T_{i,j}),$$

$$\overline{f} : T = [T_{i,j}] \in GL_n(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \det(A + TAT^{-1}) \in \overline{K}(T_{i,j}).$$

By Case 1, $\overline{f}$ is not the zero function. Moreover, K is infinite, $GL_n(\overline{K})$ is reductive and connected. That $GL_n(\overline{K})$ is connected follows by identifying it with a closed subvariety of K^{n^2+1} . Indeed, it can be seen as the one defined by the vanishing of the ideal generated by $\det(T) - 1$ where T is the “extra” variable in the polynomial ring. That this is a prime ideal just means that it is an irreducible polynomial, which is clear. Thus, by [1], Corollary 18.3, $GL_n(K)$ is Zariski-dense in $GL_n(\overline{K})$. So f is not the zero function and we are done. $\square$

Remark 1. Assume K is a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. The linear function $\psi : X \rightarrow AX + XA$ can be written $\psi = A \otimes I_n + I_n \otimes A^T$, that is a sum of two linear functions that commute. If $\text{sp}(A) = (\lambda_i)_{i \leq n}$, then $\text{sp}(\psi) = (\lambda_i + \lambda_j)_{i,j \leq n}$. If at least $n^2 - n + 1$ among these eigenvalues are non-zero, then $\text{rank}(\psi) \geq n^2 - n + 1$ and there exists X such that $AX + XA$ is invertible (cf. [2]). By a reasoning using density, we conclude that X may be assumed invertible and $A + XAX^{-1}$ is invertible. This result is weaker than Theorem 1. Indeed, if A is a generic matrix satisfying $\text{rank}(A) \approx n/2$, then $\text{rank}(\psi) \approx n^2 - (\frac{n}{2})^2 = \frac{3n^2}{4}$.

Remark 2. • We conjecture that Theorem 1 is true even if K is a finite field. In particular, if $n \leq 3$, then we can easily show that it works for any finite field K .

• In Theorem 1, we must assume that $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. Else, consider the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0_{p,n-p} \\ 0_{n-p,p} & 0_{n-p} \end{pmatrix}$ where $p > \frac{n}{2}$. If $X = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix}$, then $AX + XA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q \\ R & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ cannot be invertible because $\text{rank}(R) < n/2, \text{rank}(Q) < n/2$.

Corollary 1. Let K be an infinite field such that $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$. The following conditions are equivalent

- i) $\text{rank}(A) < n/2$.
- ii) For every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) = 0$.

Proof. According to Lemma 1, i) implies ii).

Now, assume that $r = \text{rank}(A) \geq \frac{n}{2}$. If $X \in GL_n(K)$, then $AX + XA \in GL_n(K)$ is equivalent to $A + XAX^{-1} \in GL_n(K)$. According to Theorem 1, such a matrix X exists and, consequently, ii) implies i). $\square$

The next two results are valid over any field K .

Proposition 1. *Let n be an even natural integer and $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$. If $A^2 = 0_n$, then, for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA)$ is a square in K .*

Proof. We may assume $A = \text{diag}(U_1, \dots, U_p, 0_{n-2p})$ where, for every i , $U_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $2p \leq n-2$, then $p = \text{rank}(A) \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$, $\text{rank}(AX + XA) \leq n-2$ and $\text{adj}(AX + XA) = 0_n$. Therefore we assume

$$\text{rank}(A) = \frac{n}{2} \text{ and } \text{im}(A) = \ker(A).$$

Put $X = [X_{i,j}]$ where, for every $i, j \leq n/2$, $X_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}_2(K)$. Note that, if $x_{k,l} \in K$ is the (k, l) entry of X , then $X_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{2i-1, 2j-1} & x_{2i-1, 2j} \\ x_{2i, 2j-1} & x_{2i, 2j} \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$(AX + XA)_{i,j} = U_i X_{i,j} + X_{i,j} U_j = x_{2i, 2j-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the matrices $(AX + XA)_{i,j}$ pairwise commute and $\det(AX + XA)$ depends only on the rows of even index and the columns of odd index of the matrix X . Let S_q be the set of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, q\}$ and if $\sigma \in S_q$, then $\epsilon(\sigma)$ denotes its signature. Therefore

$$\det(AX + XA) = \det\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n/2}} \epsilon(\sigma) X_{\sigma(1),1} \cdots X_{\sigma(n/2),n/2}\right) = \det(\det(\hat{X}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}),$$

where $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{M}_{n/2}(K)$ is the submatrix of X that is constituted by the rows of even index and the columns of odd index. Finally $\det(AX + XA) = \det^2(\hat{X})$. $\square$

Proposition 2. *Let n be an even integer and $\alpha \in K$ be not a square. If $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ satisfies $A^2 = \alpha I_n$, then, for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA)$ is in the form $r^2 - \alpha s^2$, where $r, s \in K$.*

Proof. Since α is not a square, we may assume that $A = \text{diag}(U_1, \dots, U_{n/2})$ where, for every $i \leq n/2$, $U_i = U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $P \in \mathcal{M}_2(K)$, then $UP + PU$ is in the form $aI_2 + bU$. Put $X = [X_{i,j}]$ where, for every $i, j \leq n/2$, $X_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}_2(K)$. Note that

$$(AX + XA)_{i,j} = U_i X_{i,j} + X_{i,j} U_j \text{ is in the form } a_{i,j} I_2 + b_{i,j} U.$$

Thus the matrices $(AX + XA)_{i,j}$ pairwise commute and

$$\det(AX + XA) = \det\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n/2}} \epsilon(\sigma) X_{\sigma(1),1} \cdots X_{\sigma(n/2),n/2}\right) = \det(rI_2 + sU),$$

where $r, s \in K$. Finally $\det(AX + XA) = r^2 - \alpha s^2$. $\square$

3. WHEN $\det(AX + XA) \geq 0$

In the sequel we assume that K is a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$ and we study the following

Problem. Find the matrices $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ such that, for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) \geq 0$.

Note that necessarily $\det(A) \geq 0$ (Choose $X = I_n$).

The case when n is odd is clear. Indeed (for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) \geq 0$) is equivalent to (for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) = 0$) (to see that, change X with $-X$). According to Corollary 1, the solutions are the matrices A such that $\text{rank}(A) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$.

Now n is assumed to be even. According to Propositions 1, 2 and Corollary 1, the

matrices A , such that $A^2 = \alpha I_n$, where $\alpha \in K, \alpha \leq 0$, or such that $\text{rank}(A) \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$, are particular solutions. Do there exist other solutions? The answer is no for $n = 2$. More precisely, one has

Proposition 3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_2(K)$. The following conditions are equivalent*

- i) *For every $X \in \mathcal{M}_2(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) \geq 0$.*
 - ii) *$\det(A) \geq 0$ and $\text{tr}(A) = 0$.*
 - iii) *There exists $\alpha \in K, \alpha \leq 0$, such that $A^2 = \alpha I_2$.*
- Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent*
- iv) *For every $X \in \mathcal{M}_2(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) \leq 0$.*
 - v) *$A = 0_2$.*

Proof. • (i) $\Rightarrow$ ii). If A is a scalar matrix, then clearly $A = 0_2$. Else we may assume $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 1 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ where $\det(A) = -\alpha \geq 0$. Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix}$. Then, for every $a \in K$, $\det(AX + XA) = 1 - 2a\beta \geq 0$. Thus $\beta = 0$ and $\text{tr}(A) = 0$.

• (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ iii). Indeed, ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (there exists $w \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{sp}(A) = \{\pm iw\}$) $\Leftrightarrow$ iii), where $\text{sp}(A)$ denotes the list of the complex eigenvalues of A .

• In the same way, we show that iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ v). $\square$

Proposition 4. *Let n be an even integer and $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ be a companion matrix such that the function $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K) \rightarrow \det(AX + XA)$ is always non-negative or always non-positive. Then necessarily $n = 2$ and, for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_2(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $X = [x_{i,j}]$ be a strictly upper triangular matrix and assume that $n \geq 4$. Then $\det(AX + XA) = x_{1,2}(x_{1,2} + x_{2,3}) \cdots (x_{n-2,n-1} + x_{n-1,n})x_{n-1,n}$. We choose $x_{2,3} = \cdots = x_{n-1,n} = 1$. Thus $\text{sgn}(\det(AX + XA)) = \text{sgn}(x_{1,2}(x_{1,2} + 1))$ can be -1 , 0 or 1 .

If $n = 2$, then $\det(AX + XA) = x_{1,2}^2 \geq 0$. $\square$

We show our second main result.

Theorem 2. *Let n be an even integer and $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$. The following conditions are equivalent*

- i) *For every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) \geq 0$.*
- ii) *Either $\text{rank}(A) < \frac{n}{2}$ or there exists $\alpha \in K, \alpha \leq 0$, such that $A^2 = \alpha I_n$.*

Proof. By Propositions 1 and 2, ii) implies i). Now on, let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ satisfying for every $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$, $\det(AX + XA) \geq 0$ and $\text{rank}(A) \geq n/2$.

Using the proof of Theorem 1, Step 4, we may assume $A = \text{diag}(U_r, V_s) \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ where $\text{rank}(U) \geq r/2$, $\ker(U) = \ker(U^2)$ and V is nilpotent and satisfies $\text{rank}(V) \geq s/2$. According to Theorem 1, there exist $Y_0 \in GL_r(K)$ such that $\det(UY_0 + Y_0U) \neq 0$ and $Z_0 \in GL_s(K)$ such that $\det(VZ_0 + Z_0V) \neq 0$. Considering X in the form $\text{diag}(Y_0, Z)$ or $\text{diag}(Y, Z_0)$, we deduce that the functions $Y \rightarrow \det(UY + YU)$ and $Z \rightarrow \det(VZ + ZV)$ are both non-negative or both non-positive and r, s are even. Now on we show that $V^2 = 0_s$.

i) Assume that $V = \text{diag}(0_p, J_q)$, where $q \geq 2, p \leq q - 2$ and $p + q$ is even. Let $r, s \in \mathbb{Q} \subset K$ and consider the matrix $Z = [z_{i,j}]$ defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for every } i \in \llbracket 1, q - p - 1 \rrbracket, z_{n-i+1, 2p+i} = r, \\ &\text{for every } i \in \llbracket 1, p + 1 \rrbracket, z_{n-q+p+3-i, i} = s, \\ &\text{for every } i \in \llbracket 1, p \rrbracket, z_{p+1-i, p+i} = 1, \\ &\text{the other } z_{i,j} \text{ being zero.} \end{aligned}$$

If $p \geq 1$ and $s = 1$, then $\det(VZ + ZV) = \epsilon(p, q)r^{q-p-1}$ where $\epsilon(p, q) \in \{\pm 1\}$. Since $q - p - 1$ is odd, that is contradictory. If $p = 0$, $q \geq 4$ is even and $r = 1$, then $\det(VZ + ZV) = 1 + \epsilon(q)s$ where $\epsilon(q) \in \{\pm 1\}$, that is contradictory. Finally $V = J_2$ and the function $Z \rightarrow \det(VZ + ZV)$ is non-negative.

ii) According to the proof of Theorem 1 Step 3, and i), V is similar over K to $\text{diag}(J_2, \dots, J_2)$. According to Proposition 1, these matrices work and we are done. Moreover the function $Y \rightarrow \det(UY + YU)$ is non-negative.

iii) We may assume that $U = \text{diag}(F, 0_s)$ where $F \in GL_r(K)$ and $r \geq s$. Let $Y = \begin{pmatrix} F^{-1} & B \\ C & D_s \end{pmatrix}$. Then $UY + YU = \begin{pmatrix} 2I_r & FB \\ CF & 0_s \end{pmatrix}$. Moreover, if $s > 0$, then $\det(UY + YU) = \epsilon(r, s)2^{-\tau(r, s)}\det(CF^2B)$ where $\epsilon(r, s) \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\tau(r, s)$ is a positive integer. There exists G , an invertible submatrix of F^2 of dimension s . To obtain G , we extract the lines with indices $l_1, \dots, l_s$ of F^2 and the columns with indices $c_1, \dots, c_s$ of F^2 . Let $e_1, \dots, e_r$ be the canonical basis of K^r . We choose $C = [e_{l_1}, \dots, e_{l_s}]^T, D = [e_{c_1}, \dots, e_{c_s}]$. Then $CF^2B = G$. Changing e_{l_1} with $-e_{l_1}$ in C , we obtain C' . Thus $C'F^2B = G'$ is the matrix obtained from G if we change the first line with its opposite. Therefore $\det(C'F^2B) = -\det(CF^2B) \neq 0$, that is contradictory. We conclude that $s = 0$ and U is invertible.

iv) Suppose $U \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ is invertible and the function $X \rightarrow \det(UX + XU)$ is non-negative. Using Frobenius decomposition over K , we may assume that $U = \text{diag}(U_1, \dots, U_t)$ where the $(U_i)_i$ are companion matrices of polynomials of degree $(r_i)_i$, with coefficients in K , with no zero roots. Choosing $X = \text{diag}(\pm I_{r_1}, I_{n-r_1})$, we deduce that r_1 is even. In the same way, all the $(r_i)_i$ are even. Choosing $X = \text{diag}(Y, I_{n-r_1})$, where $Y \in \mathcal{M}_{r_1}(K)$ and using Proposition 4, we obtain that $r_1 = 2$. In the same way, all the $(U_i)_i$ are 2×2 matrices. According to Proposition 3, for every $i \leq t$, there exists $\lambda_i < 0$ such that $U_i^2 = \lambda_i I_2$. It remains to show that, for instance, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. Choosing $X = \text{diag}(Y, Z, I_{n-4})$, where $Y, Z \in \mathcal{M}_2(K)$, we may assume $n = 4$ and

$$A = \text{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right), \text{ where } u, v < 0.$$

$$\text{Let } X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a+1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then, for every } a \in K,$$

$$\det(AX + XA) = 2(u+v)(v+a(u-v)).$$

Clearly, $\det(AX + XA)$ has a constant signum if and only if $u = v$ and this signum is non-negative. We conclude that there exists $\alpha \in K$, $\alpha < 0$ such that $U^2 = \alpha I_n$.

v) We reduced the problem to the case $A = \text{diag}(F_1, \dots, F_r, G_1, \dots, G_s)$ where $F_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $G_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $v < 0$. If $r, s > 0$ then $\text{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$

must be a solution. As in iv), we choose $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a+1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\det(AX + XA) = 2v^2(1-a)$ has not a constant signum. Thus $r = 0$ or $s = 0$. $\square$

Remark 3. In Theorem 2, we may drop the hypothesis : n is even. Indeed, if n is odd and $A^2 = \alpha I_n$, where $\alpha \leq 0$, then necessarily $\alpha = 0$ and consequently, $\text{rank}(A) < n/2$.

Corollary 2. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$. Then, for every X , $\det(AX + XA) \leq 0$ if and only if $\text{rank}(A) < n/2$.

Proof. If n is odd, then the result is clear. Assume that n is even, $\text{rank}(A) \geq n/2$ and $X \rightarrow \det(AX + XA)$ is non-positive. If we reread the proof of Theorem 2, then we conclude easily that there are no such matrices A . $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel. Linear algebraic groups. Springer GTM 126 (1991).
- [2] H. Flanders. On spaces of linear transformations with bounded rank. J. London Math. Soc. 37 (1962), 10-16.
- [3] J.R. Magnus, H. Neudecker. Matrix differential calculus with applications in statistics and econometrics. Chichester : Wiley (1988).
- [4] W.E. Roth. The equations $AX - YB = C$ and $AX - XB = C$ in matrices. Proc. of the AMS, vol. 3, p. 392-396, 1952.

GÉRALD BOURGEOIS, GAATI, UNIVERSITÉ DE LA POLYNÉSIE FRANÇAISE, BP 6570, 98702
FAA'A, TAHITI, POLYNÉSIE FRANÇAISE.

E-mail address: bourgeois.gerald@gmail.com